The U.S. Department of Energy
Industrial Assessment Centers

Database Coding Systems

January 2011

Maintained by
Michael B Muller
mbmuller@caes.rutgers.edu

Contents

Database Coding Systems

Implementation Status

The database uses a numerical code to represent the status of implementation. The following table provides details to the coding scheme:

Code	Implementation Status	
I	IMPLEMENTED	Was completely implemented at the time of the call, or plans were definitely made to complete implementation within 12 months of call (not to exceed 24 months from the assessment date)
P	PENDING	This status is for recommendations with implementation costs of $10,000 or more. Delay in implementation should be attributable to large capital investment.
N	NOT IMPLEMENTED	
K	DATA EXCLUDED OR UNAVAILABLE	K status may be assigned ONLY by field managers.

Figure 1: Implementation Status Codes

- A list of "Pending" implementations will be kept for each center; for each Pending implementation, a yearly report will be required from the center until the implementation can be identified as either Implemented or Not Implemented.
- If, after 3 years, a Pending implementation cannot be identified as Implemented, it shall be changed to Not Implemented.
- Pending implementations will not be counted when determining which implementations are Implemented and Not Implemented

Production Units (Produnit) Coding

The database uses a numerical code to represent units of production. In some industries such units are not very informative and so in many cases this item is left out. The following table provides details to the coding scheme.

Code	Units
0	Not Available
1	Pieces
2	Pounds
3	Tons
4	BBL
5	Thousand Gallons
6	Thousand Feet or Thousand Square Feet
7	Bushels

Figure 2: Production Unit Codes

Rejection Codes[1]

When a recommendation is not adopted attempts are made to determine the reasons surrounding the negative decision. The database uses a numerical code to represent the reason for rejection. The following table provides details to the coding scheme.

Code	Reason
1	Unsuitable return on investment
2	Too expensive initially
3	Cash flow prevents implementation
4	Unacceptable operating changes
5	Impractical
6	Process and/or equipment changes
7	Facility change
8	Personnel changes
9	Production schedule changes
10	Material restrictions
11	Bureaucratic restrictions
12	~~To be implemented after 2 years~~[2]
13	~~Considering~~
14	Lack of staff for analysis and/or implementation
15	Not worthwhile
16	Disagree
17	Risk or inconvenience to personnel
18	Suspected risk or problem with equipment or product
19	Rejected after implementation failed
20	Unknown
21	Could not contact plant
22	Other

Figure 3: Rejection Codes

[1] Currently, Rejection Codes are not publicly available due to confidentiality concerns

[2] No longer used

Resource Identification Codes

The database uses a numerical code to represent the various resource streams tracked. The following table provides details to the coding scheme.

STREAM TYPE	STREAM	CODE	CONSUMPTION UNITS
ENERGY	Electrical Consumption	EC	KWH(site)
	Electrical Demand	ED	MMBtu(source) kW–months/year
	Other Electrical Fees	EF	n/a
	~~Electricity~~	~~E1~~[3]	~~KWH(site)~~
	Natural Gas	E2	MMBtu
	L.P.G	E3	MMBtu
	#1 Fuel Oil	E4	MMBtu
	#2 Fuel Oil	E5	MMBtu
	#4 Fuel Oil	E6	MMBtu
	#6 Fuel Oil	E7	MMBtu
	Coal	E8	MMBtu
	Wood	E9	MMBtu
	Paper	E10	MMBtu
	Other Gas	E11	MMBtu
	Other Energy	E12	MMBtu
WASTE REDUCTION	Water Disposal	W1	Gallons
	Other Liquid (non-haz)	W2	Gallons
	Other Liquid (haz)	W3	Gallons
	Solid Waste (non-haz)	W4	Pounds
	Solid Waste (haz)	W5	Pounds
	Gaseous Waste	W6	Pounds
RESOURCE COSTS	Personnel Changes	R1	n/a
	Administrative Costs	R2	n/a
	Primary Raw Material	R3	n/a
	Ancillary Material Cost	R4	n/a
	Water Consumption	R5	n/a
	One-time Revenue or Avoided Cost	R6	n/a
PRODUCTION	Primary Product	P1	n/a
	By-product Product ion	P2	n/a
	Increase in Production	P3	%

Figure 4: Resource Stream Codes

[3] E1 was replaced with EC, ED, and EF as of FY 95 (9/30/95).